



# PSA Crypto and the headers dilemma

Antonio de Angelis, Open-Source Software group

20.04.23

# PSA Crypto and the headers dilemma

- + Problem scenario: an SDK can contain different implementations of the PSA Crypto APIs
  - e.g. nRF Connect, but others for sure have similar requirements
    - + mbed TLS include path: /root/mbedtls/include
      - It has both include/mbedtls and include/psa
    - + TF-M include path: /root/trusted-firmware-m/interface/include
      - It has include/psa
- + PSA Crypto spec: an application just needs to perform #include “psa/crypto.h”
  - All the other headers are private and implementation-specific
  - Important for TF-M and mbed TLS because TF-M has caller isolation hence structs are different
- + How to survive this so far:
  - Both include paths can be on \$PATH, if the building environment can enforce the ordering consistently (if an application wants to use TF-M’s PSA Crypto, it always needs to appear first)
  - Example: an NS app in Zephyr that wants to use mbed TLS for the TLS stack, configures MBEDTLS\_USE\_PSA\_CRYPTO and at the same time wants to use PSA Crypto APIs from TF-M to provide S/NS isolation etc

# PSA Crypto and the headers dilemma: real world feedback

- + Enforcing the ordering is not always reliable (build systems can get complex fast)
  - It would be better if one of the two paths for PSA selectively added:
    - + This is currently not possible because both `psa` and `mbedtls` include directories share the same root in `root/mbedtls/include`.
    - + Eventually might get there when the PSA Crypto repo is separated from mbed TLS and dependencies resolved
- + The interface `psa/crypto.h` defines an API that is standardized
  - structs are `IMPDEF`, and they are in `crypto_struct.h` (private for the implementation)
  - Types are standard, and they are in `crypto_types.h`, but...
    - + `typedef uint32_t psa_key_id_t;`
    - + `#if !defined(MBEDTLS_PSA_CRYPT0_KEY_ID_ENCODES_OWNER)`
    - + `typedef psa_key_id_t mbedtls_svc_key_id_t;`
    - + `#else /* MBEDTLS_PSA_CRYPT0_KEY_ID_ENCODES_OWNER */`
    - + `typedef struct {...} mbedtls_svc_key_id_t;`
    - + `#endif /* !MBEDTLS_PSA_CRYPT0_KEY_ID_ENCODES_OWNER */`
  - `mbedtls_svc_key_id_t` is used in `psa/crypto.h` of mbed TLS, meaning that TF-M PSA Crypto headers need to carry a private type of mbed TLS in order for TLS code that uses PSA Crypto to work with TF-M
- + Can be patched in existing deployments as long as `mbedtls_svc_key_id_t` is not different from a `uint32_t`.

# PSA Crypto and the headers dilemma: actions so far

- + Concentrate implementation specific behaviour into `crypto_struct` and `crypto_platform`
  - Try to align as much as possible from the other headers between Mbed TLS and TF-M
  - At build time, `crypto_struct` and `crypto_platform` can be set through compiler defines instead of relying on what is found on the `INCLUDE_PATH`
- + Consistent use of `mbedtls_svc_key_id_t` as the key ID type
  - It's an alias of `psa_key_id_t` as specified by the standard towards the clients of the API
  - It remains a complex structure when PSA Crypto is used as the backend library of client-server architecture (i.e. in the TF-M Crypto service), but that is hidden from applications
  - This has been chosen to avoid disruption in the existing integrations of Mbed TLS
- + ABI compatibility remains out of the scope for now but will likely be investigated in the future